Hypertension Update

ACOI 2017 John Prior



Nothing to declare

Hypertension - Introduction

US population incidence – 30% and growing due to an aging and increasingly obese population
Poorly controlled
Most common risk for CVD
Global Burden of Disease Study 2010 – HTN is the leading risk factor for death and DALY
Despite poor control, treatment of HTN has positively influenced stroke, CVD and CHF

History

Report (JAMA, 1977) 1980 Report (Archives) 1984 Report (Archives) 1988 Report (Archives) JNC V (Archives, '93) JNC VI (Archives '97) JNC 7 (Hypertension '03) NICE HTN 2011 JNC 8 2013 6 pages 6 pages 13 pages 16 pages 30 pages 34 pages 47 pages 36 pages









Hypertension prevalence, percent



Age, years

HTN - Definitions

Primary HTN – BP> 140/90 without secondary cause (Stg 1 140-159/90-99; Stg 2 > 160/100 (benign if criteria for malignant HTN not met)
White Coat HTN – BP > 140/90 in office and home BP < 135/85 at home</p>
Masked HTN – BP normal in office but > 140/90 at home (end organ damage)

HTN - Definitions

Secondary HTN – HTN with secondary cause such as renovascular HTN, ETOH etc
 Malignant/Accelerated HTN – HTN associated with grade 3 or 4 hypertensive retinopathy with a thrombotic microangiopathy leading to acute tissue injury (brain, kidney, heart)
 Resistant HTN – BP above goal (> 160/) despite 3 or more medications (including a diuretic)



HTN - Definitions

HTN Emergencies – HTN and acute end organ disease (malignant HTN etc)

HTN Urgencies – asymptomatic elevation of BP > 180/

Non Dipper – loss of normal BP decrease during sleep (predicts CV disease)

Gestational HTN – BP > 140/90 that occurs after the 20th week (chronic HTN occurs before and lacks proteinuria) (preeclampsia has proteinuria)

BP Control Rates

Trends in awareness, treatment, and control of high blood pressure in adults ages 18–74

National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, Percent					
	II 1976–80	II (Phase 1) 1988–91	ll (Phase 2) 1991–94	1999–2000	
Awareness	51	73	68	70	
Treatment	31	55	54	59	
Control	10	29	27	34	

Sources: Unpublished data for 1999–2000 computed by M. Wolz, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; JNC 6.

Benefits of Lowering BP

	Average Percent Reduction
Stroke incidence	35–40%
Myocardial infarction	20–25%
Heart failure	50%

HTN Evaluation

History and physical along with directed lab evaluation serve to screen for secondary HTN, assess end organ damage as well as assess CV risk. These serve to determine further workup and to tailor therapy types and goals.

Laboratory Tests

Routine Tests

- Electrocardiogram
- Urinalysis
- Blood glucose, and hematocrit
- Serum potassium, creatinine, or the corresponding estimated GFR, and calcium
- Lipid profile, after 9- to 12-hour fast, that includes high-density and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and triglycerides

Optional tests

Measurement of urinary albumin/creatinine ratio

More extensive testing for identifiable causes is not generally indicated unless BP control is not achieved

Assess interarm difference when at first assessment of hypertension

Clark's meta-analysis included a number of published studies in hypertensive patients or subgroups of hypertensive patients, in which BPs were taken from both arms, plus some unpublished data from his own group.

N2

Differences in mortality between those with large differences in interarm SBP readings

Outcome	HR, ≥10-mm-Hg difference in SBP between arms ^a	Total subjects/deaths, n	pa	HR, ≥15-mm-Hg difference in SBP between arms ^b	Total subjects/deaths, n	р ^b
All-cause mortality	1.60	1990/420	0.01	1.60	2231/456	0.008
Cardiovascular mortality	2.15	1516/151	0.007	1.34	2178/201	0.24

Ambulatory BP Monitoring

ABPM is warranted for evaluation of "white-coat" HTN in the absence of target organ injury. Also dx of masked HTN

Ambulatory BP values are usually lower than clinic readings.

Awake, individuals with hypertension have an average BP of >135/85 mmHg and during sleep >120/75 mmHg.

BP drops by 10 to 20% during the night; if not, signals possible increased risk for cardiovascular events. Non dipper

BP highest 6-8 AM and 5-7 PM

Self-Measurement of BP

Provides information on:

Response to antihypertensive therapy

Improving adherence with therapy

Evaluating white-coat HTN

BP variability

Home measurement of >135/85 mmHg is generally considered to be hypertensive.

Home measurement devices should be checked regularly. **PREDICTS CV OUTCOMES BETTER THAN OFFICE BP**

Causes of Resistant Hypertension

Improper BP measurement

Excess sodium intake

Inadequate diuretic therapy

Medication

Inadequate doses or timing

Drug actions and interactions (e.g., NSAIDs, illicit drugs, sympathomimetics, oral contraceptives)

Over-the-counter (OTC) drugs and herbal supplements

Excess alcohol intake - > 14/wk men, > 7/wk women

Identifiable causes of HTN – sleep apnea, RAS, primary aldosteronism etc

Secondary HTN

CKD and **HTN**



Estimated GFR, mL/min/1.73m²

Prevalence of Abnormalities at each level of GFR



p-trend < 0.001 for each abnormality

Prevalence of Hypertension In Chronic Renal Diseases



MCN=minimal change nephropathy CIN=chronic interstitial nephritis IgA=IgA nephropathy MGN=membranous glomerulonephritis APKD=adult-onset polycystic kidney disease DN=diabetic nephropathy MPGN=membranoproliferative glomerulonephritis FSGN=focal segmental glomerulonephritis

Pathogenesis HTN - CKD

- 1. Volume Dependent : Salt sensitive HTN
- 2. Volume Independent :
 - A. Activation of the RAS
 - B. Activation of the Sympathetic NS
 - C. Nitric oxide deficiency
 - D. Endothelin
 - F. Hyperuricemia
 - G. Sleep Apnea
 - H. Renal artery stenosis
 - I. Nephron number

Pathogenesis HTN – CKD Na Sensitive HTN

Volume-dependent HTN is the most common type of HTN seen in CKD
Incidence inversely proportional to GFR
Defined as low or normal renin and response to dietary Na restriction
Always consider volume overload as a cause of poor HTN control (GFR < 30 and proteinuria)

Pathogenesis HTN – CKD Uric Acid

Uric acid acts as a renal vasoconstrictor by decreasing NO and activating RAS

Vasculopathic

Treatment will improve angina in adults and HTN in adults and adolescents

Pathogenesis HTN – CKD Nephron Number

Low nephron numbers in HTN
This leads to HTN and progressive HTN by maladaption
HTN mothers have small babies who have small kidneys (low nephrons) and develop HTN to have small babies and so on
Genetic influences as well



Summary of studies on nephropathy progression used in figure

- Parving HH et al. Br Med J, 1989
- Viberti GC et al. JAMA, 1993
- Klaur S et al. N Eng J Med, 1993*
- Hebert L et al. Kidney Int, 1994
- Lebovitz H et al. Kidney Int, 1994

- Moschio G et al. N Engl J Med, 1996*
- · Bakris GL et al. Kidney Int, 1996
- Bakris GL. Hypertension, 1997
- GISEN Group, Lancet, 1997*

Summary of ACE or ARBs in Diabetic CKD





Kaplan-Meier Estimates of the Percentage of Patients Not Reaching the Primary Composite End Point of a Doubling of the Serum Creatinine Level, End-Stage Renal Disease, or Death



Summary of ACEI/ARB in Stage 3-5 CKD – Non Diabetic

EFFICACY – proteinuric best

Stage 3 – ARR 8-10%; NNT 10-11 for ACE or ARB (ARR 20%) (ARR 20%: NNT 5 if U P/C > 3) Stage 4 – ARR 20%; NNT 5 for ACE Stage 5D – ACE will preserve residual function even when on PD

The worse the kidney function, the worse the proteinuria - the better the response ACE and ARBs should be continued at all stages of CKD A trial of ACE and/or ARBs should be considered for proteinuric patients regardless of the stage of CKD Stopping ACE in nonproteinuric CKD may delay RRT

Initiation and Dose Escalation

Summary of Recommended Intervals to Monitor for Side Effects after Initiation
or Change in Dose of ACE Inhibitor or ARB Therapy According to Baseline Values

Baseline Value	SBP (mm Hg)	≥120*	110-119	<110
	Baseline GFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	≥60	30-59	<30
	Early GFR Decline (%)	<15	15-30	>30
	Serum Potassium (mEq/L)	≤4.5	4.6-5.0	>5.0
Interval (Weeks)		4.12	2-4	≤2

Renovascular HTN

Clinical Clues Suggesting Renovascular Hypertension

Onset of hypertension under age 25 or over age 55 An abdominal bruit, particularly in diastole Refractory, accelerated, or malignant hypertension or worsening of previously controlled hypertension Undiagnosed renal failure, with or without hypertension (particularly with normal urine sediment) Acute renal failure precipitated by hypertension treatment, particularly with ACE inhibitors A unilateral small kidney (by any prior investigational procedure) "Flash" pulmonary edema

Sensitivity and Specificity of Tests for Renovascular Hypertension

	Sensitivity	Specificity	
Test	(%)	(%)	
Doppler flow ultrasonography	80	80	
Magnetic resonance angiography	90	90	
CT Angio	90	90	

Anatomic Diagnosis not functional diagnosis

Renovascular Disease

Angiography, with or without digital subtraction, is the "gold standard" for diagnosis for renovascular disease Drive by angio


A, Baseline selective renal angiogram showing tight ostial stenosis with normal filling of the renal arteries to the cortex









Renovascular HTN

Outcomes Patency Rate at 12 months > 80% Progression of CKD – medical = intervention HTN Control - intervention = medication Controversy – patient selection is key and we don't have enough data to make recommendations Recurrent flash pulm edema, refractory HTN and med intolerance (7660 1996 to 35000 2005) Cardiology vs. Nephrology CORAL TRIAL

CORAL Trial - Results

BP goal met with medical treatment: No DM or CKD – 93% DM or CKD – 80% 2 year follow up

CORAL Kaplan–Meier Curves for the Primary Outcome.



CORAL Forest Plot of Treatment Effects within Subgroups.

Subgroup	Stent plus Medical Therapy	Medical Therapy Alone	Hazard Ratio (95%	CI)	P Value for Interaction
	no. of patients,	/total no. (%)			
Overall	161/459 (35.1)	169/472 (35.8)	⊢● −1	0.94 (0.76-1.17)	
Creatinine level			1		0.09
>1.6 mg/dl	43/84 (51.2)	34/87 (39.1)	⊢	1.35 (0.86-2.11)	
≤1.6 mg/dl	112/352 (31.8)	128/367 (34.9)	⊢ ●¦ I	0.87 (0.67-1.12)	
Estimated GFR					0.80
≥45 ml/min/1.73 m ²	91/288 (31.6)	105/311 (33.8)	⊢⊕¦	0.93 (0.70-1.23)	
<45 ml/min/1.73 m ²	64/148 (43.2)	57/143 (39.9)	⊢	0.98 (0.68-1.40)	
Diabetes					0.17
Yes	69/148 (46.6)	66/162 (40.7)	⊢ ∔ ● −−−1	1.15 (0.82-1.61)	
No	92/309 (29.8)	103/310 (33.2)	⊢ • • • •	0.84 (0.64-1.12)	
Sex	, , ,				0.64
Male	75/234 (32.1)	78/231 (33.8)	⊢	0.89 (0.65-1.22)	
Female	86/225 (38.2)	91/241 (37.8)	⊢	0.99 (0.74-1.33)	
Global ischemia	,				0.32
Yes	39/89 (43.8)	20/51 (39.2)	⊢	1.07 (0.62-1.83)	
No	119/356 (33.4)	106/264 (40.2)	⊢ →	0.78 (0.60-1.01)	
Race					0.62
Black	11/29 (37.9)	10/30 (33.3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.01 (0.42-2.43)	
Other	126/356 (35.4)	136/357 (38.1)		0.88 (0.69-1.13)	
Baseline systolic blood pressure	2				0.55
>160 mm Hg	66/148 (44.6)	58/139 (41.7)	⊢	1.02 (0.71-1.45)	
≤160 mm Hg	95/309 (30.7)	108/328 (32.9)	F	0.90 (0.68-1.18)	
Age			1		0.56
>70 yr	91/226 (40.3)	94/220 (42.7)	F • • •	0.87 (0.65-1.16)	
≤70 yr	70/233 (30.0)	75/252 (29.8)	⊢	1.00 (0.72-1.39)	
U.S. sites					0.38
Yes	137/385 (35.6)	146/387 (37.7)	⊢ ● · · · · ·	0.90 (0.71-1.14)	
No	24/74 (32.4)	23/85 (27.1)	► • • • • •	1.22 (0.69-2.16)	
Maximal diameter stenosis			l l		0.66
≥80%	77/198 (38.9)	64/166 (38.6)	⊢	0.93 (0.67-1.30)	
<80%	77/231 (33.3)	79/208 (38.0)		0.84 (0.61-1.14)	
			0.4 0.6 1.0 1.6	2.7	
			Stent plus Medical Medical Therapy Therapy Better Alone Better		

Prospective observational cohort study comparing RAS patients treated (n=62) or not treated (n=133) with ACEs inhibitors (mean follow-up: 4.5 years)



Hackam, D. G. et al. Hypertension 2007;50:998-1003

RAS – Principles of Treatment

Don't poke the skunk Unless you've already been sprayed **USE ACEI or ARBs**

Primary Aldosteronism Spironolactone-induced reduction in systolic ({blacksquare}) and diastolic BP ({square}) at 6-wk, 3-mo, and 6-mo follow-up in patients with resistant hypertension



Calhoun, D. A. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006;1:1039-1045

Prevalence of primary aldosteronism in patients with resistant hypertension from multiple clinics worldwide

Prevalence of Primary Aldosteronism in Subjects with Resistant Hypertension



Prevalence of primary aldosteronism in patients according to Sixth Joint National Committee (JNC VI) stages of severity of hypertension



Calhoun, D. A. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2006;1:1039-1045

Diagnosis of Primary Aldosterone Excess

AM plasma aldosterone/ plasma renin ratio of >30 (esp. if aldo > 20) = 90% sens/spec Confirmation 24 hr urine for aldosterone after 72 hrs of > 5 grams/day Na diet plasma aldosterone after 2000 cc NSS (<6 nl, > 10 primary aldo) CT – hyperplasia more common than adenoma



Algorithm for Treatment of Hypertension

Lifestyle Modifications



Importance of Stroke Risk Factors



Primary Prevention

<u>Treatment</u>	RRR	<u>NNT (1 stroke/yr)</u>	
HTN	42%	7937	
Statins	25%	13,333	
Aspirin	7% increase	NA	
ACE-I	30%	11,111	

Straus et al, JAMA, 2002

Secondary Prevention

<u>Treatment</u>	<u>RRR</u>	<u>NNT (1 stroke/yr)</u>	
HTN	28%	51	
Statins	25%	57	
Aspirin	28%	77	
Thieno vs ASA	13%	64	
Smoking D/C	33%	43	
CEA	44%	26	

Lifestyle Modifications

Table 3. Lifestyle Modifications to Manage Hypertension*				
Modification	Recommendation	Approximate Systolic BP Reduction, Range		
Weight reduction	Maintain normal body weight (BMI, 18.5-24.9)	5-20 mm Hg/10-kg weight loss ²⁺²²		
Adopt OA\$H eating plan	Consume a diet rich in fruits, vegetables, and low-fat dairy products with a reduced coment of saturated and total tat	8-14 mm Hg″ [∞]		
Detary sodium reduction	Reduce dietary sodium intake to no more than 100 mEq/L (2.4 g sodium or 6 g sodium chloride)	2-8 mm Hg111		
Physica activity	Engage in regular aerobic physical activity such as bisk walking (at least 30 minutes per day, most days of the week)	4-9 mm Hg ³⁴⁻⁵		
Moderation of alcohol consumption	Limit consumption to no more than 2 onnks per day (1 oz or 30 mL ethanol (eg, 24 oz beer, 10 oz wine, or 3 oz 60-proof whiskey]) in most men and no more than 1 drink per day in women and lighter weight persons	2-4 mm Hg *		
Appreviations: (RM) (aikty in Transfipressare); DASH I *Lor nyonal cardiovasculari Time desencient and cour	essindex calculated as weight in blog and divisioning P Retary Approaches to Stop Hyperforman. risk reduction: stop sneaking. The effects of implementing Id bo higher for some individuals.	e square of height in meters: 124. Diese minifications are dose and		

Diet and HTN



Diet Durability



Table 107. Summary of Number of Antihypertensive Agents To Reach Target Blood Pressure*

Target SBP (mm Hg)	Achieved SBP (mm Hg)	Agents
<135	138	2.6
<140	141	2.7
<75 or 80-89*	128 and 137	2.4
<140	136	1-3#
	Target SBP (mm Hg) <135	Target SBP (mm Hg) Achieved SBP (mm Hg) <135

* Includes studies of progression of diabetic kidney disease randomized by DBP-# no data given on SBP in reference; there were approximately 25% normotensive participants.

Antihypertensive Medicine and Risk of Diabetes

Beta blockers and thiazides diuretics increase risk for DMII

ARBs and ACEI decrease risk for DMII

HTN 2010-2016 Update

ONTARGET SIMPLICITY JNC 8 AASK ACCORD SPRINT

Kaplan-Meier Curves for the Primary Outcome in the Three Study Groups



Conclusion

Telmisartan was equivalent to Ramipril in patients with vascular disease or high-risk diabetes and was associated with less angioedema

The combination of the two drugs was associated with more adverse events without an increase in benefit

SIMPLICITY HTN

Use of catheter based renal sympathetic nerve ablation Already widely used in Europe

SYMPLICITY HTN-1



p <0.01 for ∆ from baseline for all time points. Data is reported only on the patients available at each time point.

Medtronic's U.S. Renal Denervation Trial Fails to Meet Efficacy Endpoint

Safety Endpoint is Met; All Symplicity Trials Suspended, Pending Review



Renal sympathetic nerves and the kidney

January 9, 2014 -- In a definite blow to the entire field of renal denervation, Medtronic reported this morning that its pivotal U.S. clinical trial for the Symplicity[™] Renal Denervation System has failed to meet its endpoint for efficacy.

Pending review by a panel of invited experts, the company has suspended enrollment in all of its renal denervation clinical studies worldwide.

The negative results were posted by Medtronic, prior to presentation at a scientific symposium or publication in a peer-reviewed journal. In a press release, Dr. Rick Kuntz, chief medical officer for Medtronic,

stated: "We believe this course of action is the most prudent and will help us thoroughly evaluate these findings and determine the appropriate next steps for renal denervation therapy."

Positive Results and a Hoped-for "Fix" for Treatment-Resistant Hypertension

Renal denervation has been considered to be one of the most highly-anticipated advances for treatment-resistant hypertension: high systolic blood pressure \geq 160mm Hg that is not reduced, even when three anti-hypertensive drugs are used. The procedure involves threading a special catheter to the renal arteries and utilizing a controlled "burn" to disable the sympathetic nerves that control blood pressure.

A number of worldwide trials have shown positive results for this technology over the past two years. The European Society of Cardiology even authored <u>a consensus statement</u> on renal denervation in April 2013.



related stories on Angioplasty.Org: Medtronic Renal Denervation Program Advances in U.S.

> All Medtronic Press Releases

external sites: Medtronic, Inc.

JNC 8 Etal. Summary

JNC 8 published in close temporal proximity with ASH/ISH and AHA/ACC/CDC guidelines Confusion reigns supreme All agree with:

- 1. Use of ACE/ARB, thiazides and CCB 1^{st}
- 2. BB, aldactone etc used for pts who fail this
- 3. AA should use thiazides or CCB 1st
- 4. Avoid ACE/ARB combination
- 5. ACE for all CKD (JNC8)

JNC 8 Etal. Summary

BP Goals

- 1. Age > 80 SBP < 150/
- 2. Age 60 80 SBP < 150/ (JNC8); SBP < 140/ (ASH)
- 3. Age < 60 SBP < 140/ and DBP < 90 (JNC8)(ASH)
- 4. CKD/Albuminuria < 130/ (ASH)

AASK Trial

Regardless of intervention, CKD progressed in African-American patients This was despite good BP control Genetic differences – APOL-1 Gene (MYH9 gene (nonmuscle myosin heavy chain)

Effect of Blood Pressure Lowering and Antihypertensive Drug Class on Progression of Hypertensive Kidney Disease: Results From the AASK Trial


ACCORD Trial

DM II patients with HTN and normal GFR and normal albuminuria randomized to SBP control of < 140/ and < 120/ (4733 participants)
High risk for CV events
Lower BP did not decrease the risk of fatal and non-fatal CV events
Lower BP did decrease the incidence of stroke (p 0.001)

Kaplan–Meier Analyses of Selected Outcomes.



The ACCORD Study Group. N Engl J Med 2010;362:1575-1585.

SPRINT Trial

High CV risk patients with HTN randomized to SBP < 140/ or < 120/ (9361 participants) Inclusion – HTN and increased CV risk Exclusion =- DM , GFR < 20, ADPCKD, stroke</p>

- < 120/ resulted in a decrease in primary outcome (MI, ACS, CVA, HF or CV death) NNT 61
- < 120/ resulted in a decrease in all cause mortality NNT 90
- < 120/ resulted in decreased death from CV cause NNT 172

Primary Outcome and Death from Any Cause.



The SPRINT Research Group. N Engl J Med 2015;373:2103-2116

Forest Plot of Primary Outcome According to Subgroups.



Outcomes Data from SPRINT and the ACCORD Trial and Combined Data from Both Trials.



Perkovic V, Rodgers A. N Engl J Med 2015;373:2175-2178.

HTN Treatment Summary

BP Goals - <140/90 in all but elderly (<150/80). GFR < 60 ml + proteinuria goals < 130/80
Lifestyle modification effective but not durable
Expect to use 2-3 drugs to achieve goals
Nocturnal dosing better than AM dosing
ACE/ARB combination should not be used
Spironolactone effective for resistant HTN

People who don't think too good should not think too much

Ted Williams

Nephrolithiasis - Facts

The lifetime incidence of kidney stones is approximately 13 percent for men and 7 percent for women.

Among adults with kidney stones, approximately 80 percent consist predominately of calcium oxalate and/or calcium phosphate stones.

Following an initial stone event, the 5-year recurrence rate in the absence of specific treatment is 35 to 50 percent.

Nephrolithiasis - Facts

Genetic factors are thought to account for about half the risk of developing kidney stones.

Environmental risk factors include low fluid intake, low calcium intake, and high fructose intake.

The evidence for a role for increased animal protein intake, high sodium intake, increased sucrose intake, and low magnesium intake as risk factors for kidney stones is mixed.

Risk of kidney stones may be increased by medical conditions such as obesity, diabetes, primary hyperparathyroidism, gout, paralysis, and anatomic abnormalities of the kidney and bowel

Nephrolithiasis - Workup

Standard workup for stones is comprehensive metabolic panel, UA, PTH, and Vitamin D
24 HR urine for volume, Na, UA, Ca, PO4, oxalate, citrate, and Mg
Limited evidence to support that therapy directed by workup is better than empiric tx alone (exception serum and urine uric acid)

Nephrolithiasis - Treatment

- Fluid intake to maintain urine excretion of > 2 liters per day may provide a clinically significant reduction in risk of stone recurrence.
- Abstaining from soft drinks or eliminating soft drinks acidified solely with phosphoric acid but not by citric acid (based on a single study in men) reduces risk of stone recurrence in frequent consumers.
- A normal-calcium, low-sodium, low-animal protein diet may reduce the risk for stone recurrence, but the independent effect of increasing dietary calcium has not been determined.
- High-fiber and reduced-animal protein diets may or may not help prevent stone recurrence.
- The effectiveness of other dietary interventions is not clear.

Nephrolithiasis - Treatment

Thiazide diuretics (any) reduce the risk of calcium stone recurrence (ARR = 29 percent; (NNT) = 3

Citrate reduces the risk of calcium stone recurrence ARR = 41 percent; NNT = 3

Allopurinol reduces the risk of calcium stone recurrence in patients with elevated blood and urine UA levels ARR = 22 percent; NNT = 5 Treatment with magnesium did not reduce the risk of stone recurrence